

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/064,032	BJAERUM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher L. Lavin	2621	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher L. Lavin.

(2) Joeseph Butscher (Reg. 48,326).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 December 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

5,622,174

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner felt that the two sides were arguing due to a grammatical matter. To clear up the confusion the examiner called the applicant's representative. A further explanation can be found in the advisory action.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



BRIAN WERNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)